

Pennsylvania's Implementation Social Distancing Law Project

High-Priority Tools for Public Health Legal Preparedness

Atlanta, Georgia

Tanya Leshko Senior Counsel February 22, 2011

Building on Prior Efforts

- Office of General Counsel Emergency Preparedness Committee
 - Ongoing pandemic influenza planning
 - Review of legal framework for responding to "disaster emergency" with public health consequences
 - Developed Matrix of agency roles in disaster response
 - Drafted Model disaster declarations
 - Developed Policy for use of volunteers during emergency response.

SDLP Planning

- Identify project leadership team
- Set goals
- Establish project timelines
- Develop legal consultation (TTX)
 - Scenario
 - Identify participant list
 - Length of TTX

SDLP Team

- Intent was to involve other state agencies and stakeholders in table top but not in development
- Hired contractor to write scenario and facilitate table top exercise (TTX)

Goals

- Develop a realistic tabletop exercise to:
 - test sufficiency of legal authority to implement social distancing measures in a declared or undeclared disaster emergency with public health consequences
 - assess agency/stakeholder understanding of authority
 - assess operational readiness

Legal Assessment

- **CATEGORIES OF INQUIRY:**
 - Restrictions on the movement of persons
 - Curfew authority
 - Inter-jurisdictional cooperation and coordination
 - Closure of public places
 - Mass prophylaxis readiness

Legal Assessment

cont

- Considerations for each category:
 - Authority with and without declared emergency
 - Establishing and ordering measures
 - Enforcement and penalties
 - Duration of measures
 - Due process and liability issues
 - Potential legal barriers, gaps, uncertainties

Table Top Exercise

- PARTICIPANTS:
 - Governor's office
 - State agencies: DOH, PEMA DPW, PDA, State Police, DOE, DMVA
 - County/ Muni Health Departments
 - Admin Office of PA Courts
 - KEMA
 - EMS Councils
 - Hospital Organizations
 - Sheriff's Association

TTX Scenario

- Farm Show Complex
- Visitors and vendors from all over the country
- US and international visitors
- Many species of animals
- Influenza like illness in visitor
- Rapid human to human spread
- Novel influenza virus

Legal Consultation Meeting

- Issues Raised:
 - I and Q- human and animal
 - Closure events, places, businesses
 - Due process

Legal Framework

- Administrative Code, Article 21 and ancillary, 71 P.S. §§531-551; 1401-1435
- Disease Prevention and Control Law (DPCL), 35 P.S. §§521.1 et seq
- DPCL Regulations, 28 Pa. Code, Ch. 27
- Counterterrorism Planning, Preparedness and Response Act (CPPRA), 35 §§2140.101-2140.303
- Emergency Management Services Code (EMSC), 35 Pa.C.S. §§7101-7707

CONCLUSIONS

- PA has sufficient legal authority to implement social distancing measures in a declared or undeclared emergency
- Key players in a pandemic are largely familiar with relevant legal authority
- Resources are the most significant barriers in a real-world response

Challenges

- Conduct legal assessment and TTX while also directing response to H1N1 pandemic.
- Scheduling meeting with high level agency executives who had decision making authority and their legal counsel.

Benefits of SDLP for PA

- Opportunity to:
 - Assess understanding of legal authority and response roles
 - Raise questions/concerns
 - Refresh plans/contacts
- Very positive response from participants

How to maximize opportunity

- Include appropriate participants
- Carefully consider length of TTX
- Use skilled facilitator
- Stress importance of speaking openly, raising questions/concerns

Next Steps

- Currently working on revision to DOH regulations pertaining to communicable and non-communicable diseases – clarify existing authority
- Consider another TTX since PA has new administration

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

- CDC
- ASTHO
- NACHO
- PA Department of Health
 - Office of Legal Counsel
 - Preparedness and public health program staff
 - THANK YOU